Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/590,572	YOSHIDA, SEISHIN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dov Popovici	2625
All Participants: Status of Application: RCE filed after allowance -  Docketed New Case		
(1) <u>Dov Popovici</u> .	(3)	
(2) Albert Penilla (Reg. No. 39,487).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 August 2010	Time: <u>1:58 P.M.</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 101		
Claims discussed: 17		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  An examiner's amendment was discussed and agreed to. Claim 17 has been amended by examiner's amendment at lines 1 and 3, by addingnon-transitory in order to overcome a rejection under 35 USC 101 (see the attached examiner's amendment).		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
/Dov Popovici/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2625		
	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)